RDA Metadata Standards Directory Working Group Gantt Chart

Tasks/work plant items correspond to Section 4.1 the WG Case Statement (This is a 3 page document, so scroll down)

Year	2013					2013 2014												
Month	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	★Feb.	March	April	Мау	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
1. An environmental scan for existing efforts This step was outlined in the initial candidate case statement and has been completed via two steps: 1.) An environmental scan of metadata directories (Murillo, et. al, 2012, part of the DataONE effort entitled "Components of Successful Metadata Registry Frameworks") has confirmed the proposed MSWG work is not a duplicative activity; and 2.) RDA-Metadata Standards Directory Interest Group (MSDIG) identified the DCC Disciplinary Metadata resource for modeling efforts and potentially extending. ***NOTES:	completed																	
2. Develop use cases This is to ensure functional requirements and non-functional requirements are understood. This will be done initially by a core group and then extended to the whole open community. The output will be documentation of use cases and their characterization. ***NOTES:																		
3. Prototype Directory development An open and collaborative prototype framework will be developed for documenting metadata standards. The current plan is to use a wiki, and list attributes for a selected set of metadata schemes. The Dublin Core SAM community is already providing space for this undertaking and prototype work ¹ and is being pursued by graduate students affiliated with the Metadata Research Center, School of Information and Library Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (MRC/SILS/UNC-CH). ² Work pursued may be mirrored via the DataONE community portal and potentially other RDA connections.																		

¹ RDA Metadata Directory [Pre-prototype work by SILS/MRC students]: http://tinyurl.com/awtc5xl.

² Metadata Research Center, School of Information and Library Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill: http://ils.unc.edu/mrc/.

***NOTES:									
4. Implementation and evaluation The prototype directory will be evaluated by soliciting feedback from a range of stakeholders (scientists, curators, system developers, etc.). We'll seek participants across a broad spectrum of disciplines as much as is feasible given practical research constraints (resources). We will build on the community associations of working group members to devise a plan to reach stakeholders across disciplines. The working group will seek feedback specifically on the ease of use, accessibility, and clarity. Data will also be gathered on wiki use over the development period looking at search logs, and also via an open feedback mechanism. The implementation and testing phase is intended to be iterative. ***NOTES:									
5. Framework Development Given the directory and use cases we shall develop a framework for metadata and categorize the directory instances according to the framework. This will then be open for community discussion and improvement leading to the consensual minimal metadata set for discovery, contextualizing and interoperation/re-use. Figure 2 (Jeffery, 2013), illustrates groundwork to develop a framework integral to the MASDIR activities and the broader relationship with the developing, broader vision Metadata IG, and other related RDA WGs and IGs. (Keith's slide) in Case Statement.									
6. Policy development MASDIR will propose a draft operational plan to aid the continued development, maintenance, and sustainability of the RDA Metadata Directory, associated recommended practice and the framework. The development of the policy will be an open collaborative effort, drawing from communities engaged with metadata for research data. ***NOTES:									
7. Beta Directory release An official release of the directory, the recommended practice and the framework will follow the open collaborative evaluation and modification period.									

***NOTES:									
8. Promotion and continued evaluation of the RDA Metadata Directory									
Promotion activities will include wide-spread dissemination about the directory, recommended practice and framework and encourage									
contributions. ***NOTES:									